IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 7660 of 2005

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

PATEL SAROJBEN RAMANBHAI D/O PATEL DEVJIBHAI REVANDAS

Versus

UNION OF INDIA

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 7660 of 2005

MR PRADEEP PATEL for Petitioner No. 1

MR KALPESH SHASTRI for Respondent No. 1

DS AFF.NOT FILED (N) for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

Date of decision: 06/05/2005

ORAL JUDGEMENT

#. In this petition under Article 226 of the

Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for an appropriate writ, direction or order directing the respondent passport authority to make necessary rectification in the date of birth and place of birth mentioned in the passport as stated in the Birth Certificate issued by the competent authority under the provisions of the Birth and Death Registration Act, 1969, as 19th August, 1949 instead of 1st October, 1949 and place of birth as Surkuva, Tal. Borsad, District Anand, Gujarat State India or to issue a fresh passport to the petitioner by mentioning the correct birth date of the petitioner as '19th August, 1949' and the place of birth as Surkuva, Tal. Borsad, District Anand, Gujarat State as mentioned in the certificate issued by the competent authority, under the provisions of the abovesaid Act.

2. The learned advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that through inadvertence petitioner's date of birth is wrongly mentioned in the passport as 1st October, 1949 instead of 19th August, 1949, the respondent authority has refused to entertain his request to correct the said mistake.

3. Shri JM Malkan, learned Addl. Central Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent authority has submitted that only on the basis of the material given by the petitioner the date of birth and place of birth were recorded in the passport. He has further submitted that no change in the passport is effected, but for making any correction in the passport, a new passport is normally issued by the authority with correct details if the authority is satisfied with the genuineness of the data to be corrected.

4. Having heard the parties and looking to the facts and circumstances and also the material placed on record, the respondent is directed to look into the case of the petitioner on the basis of the evidence already adduced or which may be adduced by the petitioner to correct the date of birth as mentioned above. The respondent shall take appropriate decision in accordance with law after considering the relevant evidence adduced before him and shall pass an appropriate order as expeditiously as possible.

5. As the respondent authority will have to undertake the exercise of scrutinizing the case of the petitioner afresh because of the default committed by the petitioner in not placing correct facts at an earlier point of time, a sum of Rs. 5,000/- is ordered to be paid by the petitioner to the respondent authority by way

of costs. The petition is allowed. Rule is made absolute. Direct service is permitted.

(M.R.Shah,J)

(pathan)